January 21, 2005

Ms. Cynthia Villarreal-Reyna Section Chief, Agency Counsel Legal and Compliance Division Texas Department of Insurance P.O. Box 149104 Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2005-00634

Dear Ms. Villarreal-Reyna:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 217355.

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for automobile rate and rule filings for several named insurance companies. While the department takes no position regarding whether the information at issue should be withheld, you state, and provide documentation showing, that the department notified Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company ("Nationwide") of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Act in certain circumstances). We have received and considered comments submitted by Nationwide and reviewed the submitted information.

¹ You state that, pursuant to section 552.305, the department also notified Geico Indemnity Company ("Geico"). However, by letter dated November 17, 2004, you have withdrawn your request for an opinion concerning information relating to Geico. Further, you state that the department will release information relating to Geico to the requestor. Therefore, this ruling does not address the public availability of the Geico information.

Nationwide states that the documents submitted by the department as responsive to the instant request consist of Nationwide's underwriting guidelines. Nationwide seems to indicate that its underwriting guidelines are excepted from disclosure based on its request to the department, when the underwriting guidelines were filed, that the information be treated as confidential, proprietary, and trade secrets of the company. We note that information is not confidential under the Act simply because the party submitting the information to a governmental body anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). In other words, a governmental body cannot, through an agreement or contract, overrule or repeal provisions of the Act. See Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); see also Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 3 (1990) ("[T]he obligations of a governmental body under [the predecessor to the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract."), 203 at 1 (1978) (mere expectation of confidentiality by person supplying information does not satisfy requirements of statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Consequently, unless the underwriting guidelines are encompassed by an exception to disclosure, they must be released to the requestor, notwithstanding any expectation or agreement to the contrary.

Next, we consider Nationwide's contention that the underwriting guidelines are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects: (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. See Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. Gov't Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. Hyde Corp. v. Huffines, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 898 (1958); see also Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); see also Open Records Decision No. 232 (1979). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a prima facie case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). After reviewing Nationwide's arguments and the information at issue, we conclude that Nationwide has established a prima facie case that the information is a trade secret. Because we have received no argument to rebut the company's claim as a matter of law, you must withhold the requested information under section 552.110(a).²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

² Because we are able to resolve this matter under section 552.110(a), we need not address Nationwide's remaining arguments against disclosure.

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Cary Grace

Assistant Atorney General Open Records Division

ECG/jev

Ref:

ID# 217355

Enc.

Submitted documents

c: Ms. Terry Lashley
USAA, Regulatory Compliance
9800 Fredericksburg Road
San Antonio, Texas 78284
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James G. Brown 4201 Spring Valley Road Dallas, Texas 75244 (w/o enclosures)

Ms. Maria S. Papagjka GEICO One Geico Plaza Washington, D.C. 20076-0001 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. Stephen Scott Rasmussen President Nationwide Insurance Company One Nationwide Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215 (w/o enclosures)